

# **RatingsDirect**®

## **Summary:**

## Traverse City Area Public Schools, Michigan; General Obligation

### **Primary Credit Analyst:**

Alex Tomczuk, Hartford 1-617-530-8314; alex.tomczuk@spglobal.com

#### **Secondary Contact:**

Michael J Mooney, New York + 1 (212) 438 4943; michael.mooney1@spglobal.com

## **Table Of Contents**

Credit Highlights

Outlook

## **Summary:**

## Traverse City Area Public Schools, Michigan; **General Obligation**

### **Credit Profile**

US\$81.3 mil 2025 sch bldg and site bnds (GO - unltd tax) ser 2025 due 05/01/2035

Long Term Rating AA-/Stable New

Traverse City Area Pub Schs GO

Long Term Rating AA-/Stable Affirmed

## **Credit Highlights**

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA-' rating to Traverse City Area Public Schools, Mich.'s roughly \$81.3 million series 2025 general obligation (GO) school building and site bonds.
- We also affirmed our 'AA-' rating on the district's existing GO debt.
- The outlook is stable.
- The rating reflects the application of its criteria, "Methodology For Rating U.S. Governments," published Sept. 9, 2024, on RatingsDirect.

## Security

An unlimited full-faith-and-credit pledge secures the series 2025 bonds and existing GO debt.

Officials intend to use series 2025 bond proceeds to finance:

- Athletic facility, classroom, and site improvements;
- · Heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning upgrades; and
- · Technology, bus, equipment, and furniture purchases.

#### Credit overview

The roughly 300-square-mile district is primarily in Grand Traverse County and partially in Leelanau and Benzie counties with strong property tax base growth and an increasing population; however, enrollment, a major determinant of state aid and the leading operating revenue source at 50%-60% of revenue, has annually decreased by an average of 1.2% between fiscal years 2014 and 2025. Officials expect enrollment losses to slow, but operating pressure could emerge if enrollment loses were to continue or accelerate.

Officials adopted a fiscal 2025 general fund budget with a \$4.4 million deficit, or 3.6% of revenue; however, the district now expects a fund balance draw of \$2 million or less. Despite this, we expect it will likely maintain reserves at levels supportive of the current rating because officials think attrition will help promote budgetary balance and because they are forecasting slight enrollment growth and a foundation allowance increase in fiscal 2026.

The rating further reflects our view of the district's:

- Large, mostly residential tax base, consisting of many second homes with annual taxable value and property tax revenue growth since fiscal 2014; historically, low taxpayer concentration, per capita gross county product, personal income, and local income are consistent with the median of similar-rated school districts across the nation;
- · Positive operating results during the past several fiscal years due to management's conservative budgeting, per-pupil funding increases, and federal stimulus with the district receiving about \$20 million in COVID-19-related funding, or roughly 20% of operating revenue;
- Relatively weak reserves as a share of revenue for similarly-rated credits nationwide, albeit consistent on a dollar basis, with recent adherence to a policy that requires maintaining a minimum general fund balance of 10% of expenditures;
- · Elevated current costs, partially overstated by rapid amortization and state pass-through payments for pension and other postemployment benefit costs; the district has about \$100 million of additional electorate-approved debt it currently plans to issue across two issuances in calendar years 2027 and 2029; we do not expect additional debt to create budgetary pressure because a dedicated unlimited property tax levy, at 3.1 mills for more than 20 years, secures the debt; and
- · Solid financial management policies and practices, evidenced by its monthly budget-to-actual reporting to the school board, rolling multiyear financial projections, adherence to an investment-management policy, and goal of maintaining a 3.1-mill debt levy or less.
- · For more information on our institutional framework assessment for Michigan school districts, see "Institutional Framework Assessment: Michigan Local Governments," published Sept. 9, 2024.

#### Environmental, social, and governance

In our view, the district faces elevated social risk due to negative demographic trends, which could pressure long-term financial and economic prospects. Environmental and governance factors are neutral in our credit analysis; however, we note that the district was the victim of a ransomware attack in March 2024 that caused a three-day closure of its schools. The district did not pay the ransom, so the financial effects were limited to the amount of its cyber insurance deductible, and additional cyber-security measures have been implemented, with officials reporting that it is close to completing a forensic study.

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects S&P Global Ratings' view that the district will likely maintain current finances during the two-year outlook, aided by COVID-19-related funding and a generally positive state funding environment.

#### Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if the district were to enter a period of budgetary imbalance, leading to material reserve decreases. The likelihood of a lower rating could increase if enrollment losses were to accelerate, if it were to become apparent management used stimulus funds for budgetary balance, or if debt were to increase materially.

## Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if the district were to maintain reserves at significantly higher levels, enrollment were to stabilize, and management were to implement more robust financial policies and practices.

Table 1

Traverse City Area Public Schools, MichiganCredit summary			
Institutional framework (IF)	3		
Individual credit profile (ICP)	2.43		
Economy	1.5		
Financial performance	3		
Reserves and liquidity	2		
Management	2.65		
Debt and liabilities	3.00		

Table 2

Traverse City Area Public Schools, MichiganKey credit metrics							
	Most recent	2024	2023	2022			
Economy							
Real GCP per capita % of U.S.				87			
County PCPI % of U.S.				96			
Market value (\$000s)		22,544,761	18,909,810	16,575,506			
Market value per capita (\$)		247,960	207,981	187,937			
Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value		3.1	3.1	3.0			
County unemployment rate (%)		3.4	3.6	3.8			
Local median household EBI % of U.S.			101	99			
Local per capita EBI % of U.S.			107	107			
Local population			90,921	88,197			
Financial performance							
Operating fund revenues (\$000s)		128,162	123,323	111,054			
Operating fund expenditures (\$000s)		126,625	123,027	108,648			
Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s)		(574)	440	264			
Operating result (\$000s)		963	736	2,670			
Operating result % of revenues		0.8	0.6	2.4			
Operating result three-year average %		1.3	2.1	1.4			
Enrollment		8,855	8,944	9,124			
Reserves and liquidity							
Available reserves % of operating revenues		10.4	10.7	11.1			
Available reserves (\$000s)		13,372	13,179	12,377			
Debt and liabilities							
Debt service cost % of revenues		13.3	11.9	12.9			
Net direct debt per capita (\$)	1,746	851	1,046	921			
Net direct debt (\$000s)	158,711	77,410	95,100	81,210			
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	93	100					
Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues		18.0	20.0	17.0			

## Summary: Traverse City Area Public Schools, Michigan; General Obligation

Table 2

Traverse City Area Public Schools, MichiganKey credit metrics (cont.)						
	Most recent	2024	2023	2022		
NPLs per capita (\$)		2,139	2,464	1,600		
Combined NPLs (\$000s)		194,438	224,005	141,090		

Financial data may reflect analytical adjustments and are sourced from issuer audit reports or other annual disclosures. Economic data are generally sourced from S&P Global Market Intelligence, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Claritas, and issuer audits and other disclosures. GCP--Gross county product. PCPI--Per capita personal income. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits. NPL--Net pension liabilities.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.spglobal.com/ratings for further information. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

Copyright © 2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.